

# VISION KEEPERS GROUP



## Meeting Notes

*Thursday, November 19<sup>th</sup>. 2009*

### **Attendees:**

Members of the Committee: Ron Deans, Doran Hanert Joanna McCallum, Frank Kernick, Kim Czimazia

Town Administration: Patrick Sorfleet

Regrets: Katarina Steele, Corina Dootjes, Hans Holthuis,

Members of the Public: None

The meeting was called to order by the Chair, Ron Deans at 7:05 P.M.  
Patrick Sorfleet recorded the notes of the meeting.

### **1. Introductions**

The new and old group members introduced themselves and their reasons for wanting to participate in Vision Keepers Group. The group discussed the history of the vision keepers and how the group became involved with the CSP process.

### **2. Adoption of Agenda**

The agenda was amended to add an update on the CSP (#3)

### **3. Adoption of previous Meeting Notes**

The meeting notes were accepted as presented.

### **4. CSP Update**

Patrick gave an update as to the rescinding of the community sustainability plan. The CSP is no longer a bylaw of the Town of Canmore, however Council was very clear and directed Administration by resolution to assemble all of the public feedback from the CSP process into a document and to bring that document back to council as information.

### **5. Review of past VKG Activities**

Ron Deans and Doran described the previous projects that the VKG have worked on including the CSP, the SSR process and the community monitoring report.

### **6. Trends from the 2008 Monitoring Report and moving toward MTF**

Ron Deans explained the VKG's approach to analyzing the community monitoring report in the context of Mining the Future. The group took the recommendations of the monitoring report and tried to classify them in the context of the MTF guiding principles. Doran explained how the tables were used to develop a gap analysis of the recommendations.

Frank asked how the group would present recommendations on how to gather additional data to council. Ron explained that the VKG could present these recommendations to Council through their review of the monitoring report. Frank commented that the report to council should identify these gaps, but should not try to say how to fill them. He suggested that it would be best to ask council to challenge the consultant to come up with these measures. Kim noted that the identity section of the

monitoring report strongly distinguishes between permanent and non-permanent residents where as the goals from the guiding principles do not.

**Action Item: Patrick or Gary to present an update at each meeting as to what projects are currently underway.**

**Action Item: Ron will invite Brenda and Bruce to the next meeting.**

**Action Item: Ron will ask Corina to present the VKG's request to review the LUB re-write in the context of MTF.**

#### **7. Next Meeting**

The next meeting was scheduled for December 17<sup>th</sup>, 2009.

#### **8. Adjournment**

The meeting was adjourned at 9:02P.M.